Topography-driven isolation, speciation and a global increase of endemism with elevation
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ABSTRACT

Aim Higher-elevation areas on islands and continental mountains tend to be separated by longer distances, predicting higher endemism at higher elevations; our study is the first to test the generality of the predicted pattern. We also compare it empirically with contrasting expectations from hypotheses invoking higher speciation with area, temperature and species richness.

Location Thirty-two insular and 18 continental elevational gradients from around the world.

Methods We compiled entire floras with elevation-specific occurrence information, and calculated the proportion of native species that are endemic (‘percent endemism’) in 100-m bands, for each of the 50 elevational gradients. Using generalized linear models, we tested the relationships between percent endemism and elevation, isolation, temperature, area and species richness.

Results Percent endemism consistently increased monotonically with elevation, globally. This was independent of richness–elevation relationships, which had varying shapes but decreased with elevation at high elevations. The endemism–elevation relationships were consistent with isolation-related predictions, but inconsistent with hypotheses related to area, richness and temperature.

Main conclusions Higher per-species speciation rates caused by increasing isolation with elevation are the most plausible and parsimonious explanation for the globally consistent pattern of higher endemism at higher elevations that we identify. We suggest that topography-driven isolation increases speciation rates in mountainous areas, across all elevations and increasingly towards the equator. If so, it represents a mechanism that may contribute to generating latitudinal
INTRODUCTION

Globally pervasive and repeated geographical biodiversity patterns such as latitudinal and elevational diversity gradients are strongly affected by the evolution of species (Wallace, 1880; Rohde, 1992; Allen & Gillooly, 2006; Mittelbach et al., 2007). Indeed, these patterns must result from gains and losses of species over time, and speciation is one key type of gain (the other being immigration). Therefore, various hypotheses have been advanced to explain spatial variation in speciation rates that operate through distinct mechanisms and are not necessarily mutually exclusive. One prominent explanation, favoured by Rohde (1992), and more recently by Brown (2014) and others as part of the ‘metabolic theory of ecology’, proposes that speciation rate increases with temperature (Hypothesis 1). This would cause higher rates of speciation in lower latitudes and at lower elevations. Another popular potential mechanism is that more intense biotic interactions promote speciation, including the ‘diversity begets diversity’ hypothesis (Hypothesis 2; Van Valen, 1973; Rohde, 1992; Gillooly et al., 2004; Emerson & Kolm, 2005). As a consequence, species-rich systems with intense species interactions would show higher rates of speciation. Larger areas are also thought to promote speciation (Hypothesis 3; Losos & Schluter, 2000), including the increasing chance of allopatric divergence (Kisel & Barradough, 2010). All these mechanisms predict a higher speciation rate per species and increased addition to overall species numbers within a specified area (i.e. speciation rate per area).

Elevational gradients provide unique opportunities for testing hypotheses deduced from models and theories advanced to explain diversity gradients (McCain & Sanders, 2010; Hutter et al., 2013). The leading theories outlined above, which seek to (partly) explain species richness gradients via equivalent gradients of speciation, are typically associated with latitudinal gradients, but are not specific to them and the mechanisms they invoke should also apply at the smaller geographical extents of elevational gradients. All of them predict either negative or hump-shaped relationships between elevation and speciation rate because lower elevations are warmer, the area occupied by elevational belts tends to be larger at lower elevations and low to mid elevations tend to have more species (Rahbek, 1995; McCain, 2005). According to all these theories, the proportion of native species originating from local speciation should be lowest at high elevations – assuming, as do those theories, that extinction is not systematically lower at high elevation.

Another speciation driver is isolation (Coyne & Orr, 2004). Isolation by sea, for example, is thought to be integral to explaining speciation on islands. This factor is reflected in the large number of endemic island species, which contribute disproportionately to the global species pool (Kreft et al., 2008). More generally, the promotion of speciation by gene-flow barriers is widely known (Coyne & Orr, 2004). The barriers may include geographical distance or specific features such as sea separating terrestrial systems or land separating marine systems, depending on the organisms concerned. They may also include topographic features such as mountain ranges dividing low-elevation systems or major valleys dividing high-elevation systems. Indeed, Gillespie & Roderick (2014) found that the chance of population isolation increases in more topographically diverse areas because of barriers to gene-flow. Allopatric speciation is therefore usually cited to explain specific species richness patterns involving particular barriers, or to explain island biogeographical (e.g. Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007) or regional (e.g. Qian & Ricklefs, 2000) diversity patterns – but it has not previously been considered to vary systematically enough to account for global-scale biodiversity gradients such as elevational or latitudinal ones (Mittelbach et al., 2007). Thus, isolation is not a prominent mechanism invoked in attempts to explain grand clines in biodiversity, and there are few studies examining effects of isolation at a global scale.

Geographical isolation tends to increase with elevation whether or not mountains resemble the conical shape of many volcanic islands (Elsen & Tingler, 2015). It has been known since von Humboldt and Bonpland (1807) that most species are confined to fairly specific zones within an elevational gradient; the mechanism may be that upward movement is restricted mainly by physiological tolerance and downward movement mainly by competition (Ghalambor et al., 2006). This confinement to particular elevational zones creates isolation, even in the absence of a clear feature acting as a barrier. In particular, for non-lowland species, the geographical extent of inhospitable lower-elevation terrain separating suitable habitat (which may or may not also include water) increases with elevation (Fig. 1; Steinbauer et al., 2013). Although the distinction is partly a matter of degree, we use the term ‘topographic isolation’ to refer to isolation by a specific feature that acts as a distinct barrier (e.g. sea or a mountain pass) and ‘elevational isolation’ to refer to the
isolation caused by elevational difference. We use ‘topography-driven isolation’ to refer to a combination of the two.

If isolation is an important driver of speciation (by reducing gene flow), elevation-driven isolation should result in repeated patterns of increasing speciation with elevation (Hypothesis 4). There is indeed support from phylogenetic studies for an increase in diversification with elevation (Hutter et al., 2013; Merckx et al., 2015), particularly in high-elevation ‘island-like habitats’ (Hughes & Eastwood 2006). Phylogenetic evidence indicates that many high-elevation endemics across the globe are phylogenetically young taxa resulting from recent fast diversification (e.g. the New Zealand Alps, Winkworth et al., 2004; the Andes, Hutter et al., 2013; South American tepuis, Salerno et al., 2012; east Malaysia, Merckx et al., 2015). Although speciation and endemism are not automatically linked, trends in endemism should broadly reflect gradients of speciation. Some studies report consistent increases in per-species levels of endemism with elevation in localized areas (e.g. Kessler, 2002; Vetaas & Grytnes, 2002; Mallet-Rodrigues et al., 2010; Jump et al., 2012; Nogué et al., 2013, Irl et al., 2015), but no global synthesis has yet been attempted. Here, for the first time, we test the global generality of this pattern.

The reasoning on elevation-driven isolation implies that elevational zones effectively act as islands that become smaller and more remote with increasing elevation. The concept of mountain tops as islands is not new (e.g. Mayr & Diamond, 1976), but it is less common to conceptualize the island biogeography of elevational zones as a continuous gradient. Thus, higher-elevation zones are more isolated from each other, less connected and have a smaller extent than lower-elevation zones. Following the concepts of island biogeography, and given a sufficient elevational range, higher elevations should, therefore, be expected to be (1) decreasingly species rich but (2) contain increasingly high proportions of endemics, assuming sufficient time for speciation (Fig. 1). The first prediction is in line with the leading hypotheses outlined above that invoke the mechanisms of increased speciation with temperature, area and biodiversity. The second prediction of higher per-species endemism at higher elevations, however, contrasts with the higher per-species endemism at low to mid elevations predicted by those other hypotheses. While the mechanisms underlying these hypotheses are not mutually exclusive, the opposing predictions allow a comparative test of the importance of isolation for speciation in a global context.

Here, we use 50 elevational gradients from around the world, covering entire plant floras, to evaluate the global relationship between the proportion of native species that are endemic (hereafter ‘percent endemism’) and elevation. We focus on elevational gradients on islands, where speciation can be most reliably inferred from endemism. We also test whether the relationship between endemism and elevation applies to continental mountains, where elevational isolation is present but the additional isolation by sea does not apply. Using our island data, we test the predictions from the four hypotheses that percent endemism should be positively related to each of: (1) temperature, (2) species richness, (3) area, and (4) isolation.

METHODS

We assembled complete native floras for 32 high-elevation islands and 18 continental mountain systems, with maximum elevation reaching up to 4200 m for islands and 6000 m for continents, drawn from all major oceans and continents except Antarctica (see Table S1 in Supporting Information and Appendix 1). The key selection criteria were: (1) a long elevational gradient (preferably more than 1000 m, but occasionally slightly less), (2) enough endemic species (definition below) for the response variable (percent endemism) to contain sufficient variance to model with confidence, (3) good coverage of the flora, and (4) reliable presence–absence data along the elevational gradient for all the species. All datasets we accessed that satisfied these criteria were included. However, criteria 1 and (particularly) 2 resulted in no datasets poleward of 54° (Tierra del Fuego): at high latitudes there are typically very few species that qualify as ‘endemic’ using our criterion (see below). We focused on vascular plant species (though 28% of the datasets were only for seed plants and the Peruvian Andes only include woody species) because it is for this taxon that spatially explicit data are most available. Because we aimed to identify general patterns, we performed parallel analyses (which showed strikingly similar results; Fig. S1) for arthropods from six Azorean islands for which high-quality spatially explicit data were available (Borges et al., 2010).

Native species richness and endemic species richness were calculated for 100-m elevational belts. Endemic species were defined as species native only to the archipelago (defined as the focal island in cases where it is closer to a continent than to another island, e.g. Cyprus) or mountain range.

The response variable was the percent of native species that are endemic (percent endemism), the best available proxy for per-species speciation rates (Steinbauer et al., 2013). The use of percentage values also has the major advantage over richness-based indices that the values are independent of environment–richness and area–richness relationships, which tend to override other patterns in biogeography (thus in our datasets there is no consistent relationship between elevation and endemic species richness). Further, this method is relatively robust to sampling biases (Steinbauer et al., 2013).

As percentages based on few species are unreliable, we excluded elevational belts with fewer than 10 native species. We assessed the reliability of the percent endemism values using bootstrapping: we drew species from the pool of all natives (endemic and non-endemic) in each 100-m elevational belt, with replacement, until we reached the total observed species richness. This was done 1000 times for each data point, the central 95% (i.e. between the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles) of the resulting percent endemism values providing the confidence envelope. Most analyses used generalized linear
models with binomial errors and a logit link, and parallel ordinary least-squares regressions for comparison. Mixed-effects modelling with binomial errors and logit link was used to assess the global relationship between percent endemism and elevation, with island versus continental mountain included as a random effect, and was performed using R package lme4, version 1.1-7, in R version 3.2.0.

Temperature, area and isolation were quantified as follows, for islands only. A global digital elevation model with 30-m resolution (ASTER GDEM, a product of METI and NASA) was used to slice all investigated islands into 100-m elevational bands, resulting in a total of 560 bands. Resolution was resampled to 60 m for Tasmania and Taiwan to meet computational limits. Mean annual temperature from 1-km resolution WorldClim data was downscaled using the ASTER GDEM and an elevational lapse rate of 0.6 °C/100 m. The area and mean temperature of each elevational band were calculated. Isolation was quantified using an established approach (Weigelt & Kretz, 2013), namely ‘distance to a climatically similar land mass’. This was approximated as the distance of the elevational band to the nearest terrestrial area outside the archipelago that has a similar temperature of each elevational band were calculated. Isolation was removed before quantifying isolation. Our measure of climatic similarity does not include precipitation because precipitation interpolations for islands from global data are highly problematic. The Juan Fernández Islands (Robinson Crusoe and Alejandro Selkirk) were excluded from this analysis because of missing WorldClim data, and Corsica was excluded because the elevational species distribution resolution is too coarse for 100-m bands. Processing of spatial data was done using the R packages raster, version 2.3-40, maptools, version 0.8-36, and rgeos, version 0.3-8.

In order to test the predictions from the four hypotheses, (1) area, (2) temperature, (3) isolation, and (4) species richness of each elevational band were directly related to percent endemism across all the islands in our dataset. First, we correlated percent endemism with the four predictors separately. Variation accounted for by predictors was quantified using McFadden’s pseudo-R² [1 – (log-likelihood of the full model/log-likelihood of the null model)]. We log-transformed area, richness and isolation because this improved residuals and model performance. Second, we combined the four predictors in one model and used plots of partial residuals to visualize the modelled effects. Finally, we rebuilt this multiple model using standardized predictor variables and used the model coefficients to indicate relative importance.

RESULTS

The plant floras of the 32 high-elevation insular and 18 continental mountain systems compiled for this study differed considerably in overall species richness (range 75–3186, mean 776 for islands; range 127–8067, mean 1454 for continental mountains) and overall percent endemism (range 3–80%, mean 41% for islands; range 3–72%, mean 33% for continental mountains). The dataset we analysed comprised 51,009 species records with specific elevational occurrence information. The peak of Robinson Crusoe Island (915 m) was the elevational band with the highest percent endemism (96%).

We found a globally consistent and highly significant pattern of monotonic increase in percent endemism with increased elevation (Fig. 2). We found this when analysing island systems, continental mountain systems or both combined (P < 0.001 in all cases). The pattern was independent of underlying richness–elevation gradients, which had differing shapes but consistently decreased with elevation at high elevations (Fig. S2). In most cases, percent endemism more than doubled from the lowest to the highest elevations, in some cases increasing more than ten-fold. Assessed individually, 28 of the 32 island relationships and all 18 of the continental mountain relationships were significantly positive (P < 0.001 for all except Pico in Azores, where P < 0.05). The other four (Alejandro Selkirk, La Gomera, El Hierro, Tierra del Fuego) had no significant relationship between percent endemism and elevation.

Isolation had by far the greatest explanatory power of the four predictor variables in our hypothesis testing. Analysed individually, its pseudo-R² was 0.78 (P < 0.001). The relationship was positive (increased percent endemism with isolation), as predicted by the isolation hypothesis. Species richness (pseudo-R² = 0.23), area (pseudo-R² = 0.15) and temperature (pseudo-R² = 0.04) were all negatively correlated with percent endemism, significantly so (P < 0.001 for all), opposing the predictions of the related hypotheses (metabolic
theory of ecology, speciation–area relationship, diversity begets diversity). Using ordinary least-squares regression, the results were qualitatively identical, but the $r^2$ for isolation was slightly lower (0.71). Including all four predictors in one multiple model reinforced the dominance of isolation (Fig. 3), and adding area, temperature and species richness only increased the ordinary least-squares $R^2$ to 0.74 (from 0.71), and the pseudo-$R^2$ actually decreased to 0.75 (from 0.78). In the multiple model, the effects of species richness and area were weakly positive (Fig. 3), unlike in the single regressions. The biggest residuals represented unexpectedly high percent endemism throughout Socotra, and on the peaks of Jamaica and Fogo (Cape Verde).

**DISCUSSION**

The monotonic increase in percent endemism with elevation, previously known from a range of case studies, is here documented globally for the first time, over long elevational gradients on continents and islands alike. The increase is remarkably globally consistent for a pattern measured in nature at fine grain and landscape extent, and much more consistent than the equivalent species richness–elevation gradients in the same data (Fig. S2). This consistency indicates that the relationship applies globally and implies that it is predictable. The different geological ages of the islands and continental mountains in our dataset suggest that the pattern may also be repeated through time. Relationships that are predictable in space and time can contribute to a general explanation of pervasive biodiversity patterns (Whittaker et al., 2001). Our results allow us to evaluate probable isolation effects against those of temperature, area and richness within our study system, and we find that these probable isolation effects are dominant. Our findings also allow us to contribute towards a general explanation for the anomalously high biodiversity of tropical and subtropical mountains, and in turn towards understanding latitudinal biodiversity gradients. We now expand on these points.

**Endemism, speciation rates and evaluation of the hypotheses**

For the long elevational gradients in our data, the patterns of percent endemism are consistent with the predictions of the isolation hypothesis, but not with those of the metabolic theory of biology nor the area and diversity-begets-diversity hypotheses. Those predictions were made on the basis that percent endemism is a reasonable proxy for per-species speciation rate. But to what extent does the increase in percent endemism reflect increasing speciation rate with elevation? Speciation rate, as conceptualized in this paper, is the average time one species takes to diverge into two reproductively isolated species (e.g. Knope et al., 2012; see also Yule, 1924). The use of percent endemism to measure speciation rate involves the assumption that the large majority of endemic species on islands (or mountains) derives from in situ speciation. This assumption has considerable support, at least for oceanic islands (Stuessy et al., 2006), and we consider it reasonable to assume that most of the endemic species in our island data evolved within the archipelago (another key reason for using archipelago-level endemism). The fact that the same relationship between elevation and endemism is also found for continental mountains (Fig. 2) suggests that in situ speciation may also account for most of the endemics in our continental mountain data. This is consistent with phylogenetic studies showing an increased diversification rate with elevation in continental mountains (Hutter et al., 2013; Merckx et al., 2015).

Percent endemism is also likely to be affected by extinction, and possibly by other circumstances (e.g. palaeoendemism, dispersal limitation of endemics and elevational differences in the immigration rate; Steinbauer et al., 2012). The presence of elevational gradients reduces extinction risk caused by climatic changes as species can track their climatic niche by shifting over short spatial distances along strong climatic gradients (Sandel et al., 2011; Fjeldså et al., 2012). On high-elevation islands, extinction risk may be slightly higher towards the summit and at the coast where some species might meet their temperature range limits (McCain 2005). However, oceanic influences tend to cause more stable climates, particularly at low elevations, likely mitigating climate-induced extinctions there (Cronk, 1997). We thus expect extinction rates to mainly increase with elevation because of smaller areas and more variable climate; this would lead to decreasing percent endemism with elevation if temporal species turnover is faster than clado- and anagenetic evolutionary processes – but we found an increase. Higher extinction rates may enhance speciation opportunities for the remaining species. Also, historical land-use changes in lowlands may affect percent endemism there. However, our analyses are based only on native species (not aliens), and we consider it very unlikely that land use and other human influences affect endemic species so differently from native non-endemic species (e.g. via the loss of defensive mechanisms), and in such a globally consistent manner, that they cause the strong and consistent pattern we find.

Assuming, then, that percent endemism reflects per-species speciation rate reasonably well, the strong increase in percent endemism with elevation is contrary to predictions derived from the metabolic theory and the biotic interactions (‘diversity begets diversity’) and area hypotheses. This is consistent with findings by McCain & Sanders (2010) that the metabolic theory does not explain diversity patterns along elevational gradients. With their elevational ranges varying from about 800–6000 m, our 50 datasets all represent strong temperature gradients (temperature ranges of approximately 5–40 °C), and both species richness and area of elevational bands tend to vary within each dataset by orders of magnitude (Figs 3 & S2). If those are the main drivers of speciation in our study areas then they should account for more variation in percent endemism than does isolation, but they do not. This widespread increase in percent endemism with elevation and the strong effect attributed to isolation are, however, consistent with an increase in speciation driven by elevational isolation. It is also consistent with the notion of an island biogeography
Figure 2 Elevation–percent endemism relationships globally. Vertical axes show the percentage of native species that are endemic (note the varying scales); horizontal axes show elevation in 100-m bands. Blue shading indicates 95% envelopes from bootstrap resampling (see Methods). Graphs surrounded by dashed boxes belong to the same archipelago or region. Assessed individually using generalized linear models (binomial), 28 of the 32 islands and all of the 18 continental mountain relationships are significantly positive ($P < 0.001$ for all except Pico, where $P < 0.05$). The other four (Alejandro Selkirk, La Gomera, El Hierro, Tierra del Fuego) were non-significant.
of elevational zones. Thus, there is a strong indication that elevation-induced isolation overrides possible effects of temperature, biotic interactions and area on speciation along the elevational gradients investigated here.

Reduction with elevation in the ability of species to disperse between elevation zones could help account for the pattern in Fig. 2, and would represent an influence of topography-driven isolation additional to speciation. While the mechanism of topography-driven isolation is invariant with time, sufficient time is required for speciation to result from isolation. One reason why few high-latitude mountains contain endemic species is because most have suffered recent massive extinction by glaciation. Note that this lack of endemic species (and also low native plant species richness at high elevations in high latitudes) excludes high latitudes from our analyses, while being consistent with, and expected from, our reasoning.

**Topography-driven isolation may drive diversification increasingly towards the tropics**

While, on the basis of our findings, we cannot reject other theories for latitudinal gradients, our findings and reasoning are in line with empirical studies that found stronger coarse-resolution correlations in lower latitudes between species richness and topography than with other potential drivers (e.g. Kreft & Jetz, 2007). It has also been suggested that speciation associated with tropical mountains may have fuelled today’s tropical diversity (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Thomas et al., 2008; Fjeldså et al., 2012); phylogenetic research provides qualified support (Särkinen et al., 2012), and there are examples of the ancestors of tropical lowland lineages being montane (e.g. Elias et al., 2009). Our findings are consistent with this notion, and imply that topography-driven isolation is an important mechanism increasing the speciation rate towards the equator.

Systematic global variation in the isolating influence of elevation was proposed by Janzen (1967; see also Osborne, 2012), who argued that smaller climatic niches of tropical taxa (which do not have to tolerate much seasonal variation...
in temperature) mean much stronger dispersal limitation caused by topography in warmer, less seasonal climates than in higher latitudes. Despite the title of Janzen’s paper, this reasoning applies to crossing lower elevations (e.g. valleys) as well as higher ones (e.g. mountain passes), though the magnitude of the effect may not scale linearly (Ghalambor et al., 2006). In addition to the direct effect of smaller niches, the reduced seasonality at lower latitudes may also select for lower dispersal ability (Jocque et al., 2010).

In addition to Janzen’s suggested increase in effective elevation at low latitudes, the ‘glacial buzzsaw’ tends to decrease absolute elevations at high latitudes (Egholm et al., 2009; Fig. 4). This is because, during periods of repeated glaciations of poleward regions (as currently, in the Quaternary), higher-latitude mountains are particularly eroded by glaciers and ice sheets. We suggest that these latitudinal trends in both absolute and effective elevational ranges combine to cause much higher probabilities of isolation, and thus promote higher speciation rates per unit area, in mountainous areas at lower latitudes. The slope of the relationship between percent endemism and elevation may or may not change with latitude, but the chance of isolation by topography at any elevation is much greater at lower latitudes. From this, we suggest that the latitudinal diversity gradient may result in part from mountains being much higher in bioclimatic and ecological terms at lower latitudes, working as speciation pumps that can enhance species richness also in surrounding lowlands (Gillespie & Roderick, 2014).

**Figure 5** (a) The isolating effect of mountain topography may act as a speciation pump in the presence of climatic fluctuations while (b) landscapes with less variable topography may lack this mode of speciation. The figure is a simplified conceptualization, the coloured thermometers illustrating climatic changes: red representing warm periods, pale blue for cold periods and dark blue for intermediate temperatures. Thick lines on top of the landscape cross-sections show the distributional range of the clade at each time point. Changes in leaves (colour and form) indicate divergence (incipient/actual speciation). Speciation may be the result of isolated evolution of lineages (isolation barriers indicated by dashed lines), but also of hybridization and polyploidy when differentiated taxa merge after isolation (not shown but also enhanced by topography). Note that isolation in mountain ranges may occur in valleys or mountain peaks. For simplicity, the illustration assumes (1) that each isolation event is long enough to cause speciation and (2) that there is no niche shift or adaptive radiation.

**Temporal dynamics in topography-driven isolation**

Changing environmental conditions, such as during Milankovitch glacial–interglacial cycles, and the associated range shifts of species, may repeatedly divide and merge populations at varying elevations, again working as speciation pumps (Fig. 5; Qian & Ricklefs, 2000; Cadena et al., 2012; Gillespie & Roderick, 2014) similar to those reported for oceanic island archipelagos (Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2007). This process will increase allopatric speciation by repeated isolation as well as hybridization and polyploidy in the phases of remixing of related taxa. While Milankovitch glacial–interglacial cycles may thus hinder speciation in areas with low topographical complexity (Dynesius & Jansson 2000), they may boost diversification in mountain ranges, where isolation is likely and the extinction risk low because of low climate-change velocity (Sandel et al., 2011; Fjeldså et al., 2012). Topography-enhanced speciation by repeated isolation has previously been proposed as a mechanism to increase tropical biodiversity (Noreas, 1999; Haffer & Prance, 2001; Elias et al., 2009), but in rather specific ways, such that its relevance for the latitudinal diversity gradient may have been underplayed.
On much longer time-scales, strong latitudinal diversity gradients comparable to what we observe today may be restricted to periods of the Phanerozoic characterized by ‘icehouse’ climatic regimes (Mannion et al., 2014). Among other reasons, the absence of the ‘glacial buzzsaw’ during much of Earth’s history would reduce the latitudinal gradient in topography-driven isolation, especially when combined with shallower latitudinal gradients of temperature and seasonality. Thus, times with weakened latitudinal diversity gradients during Earth’s history may also have been times in which latitudinal trends in topography-driven isolation were much weaker.

**Implications for nature conservation**

The globally consistent increase in percent endemism with elevation has important implications for nature conservation. High-elevation ecosystems consistently harbour disproportionately high ratios of unique species in relatively small areas, and many are ideal for nature conservation because they are not well suited to other land uses (not least on islands, where tourism tends to be based in the lowlands; Sandel & Svenning, 2013). However, high-elevation endemic species may be adversely affected by climate change, particularly those whose climatic envelopes are set to disappear (Elsen & Tingler, 2015, Harter et al., 2015). Even so, if elevation drives speciation, future speciation may be maximized by conserving mountainous areas, especially at lower latitudes.

**Conclusion**

We suggest that an increase in speciation caused by the isolating effect of topography may make a significant contribution to explaining latitudinal gradients of beta and gamma diversity, and variations in those gradients with geological time. This importance of isolation for speciation is consistent with the increase in percent endemism with elevation that we find on high islands and continental mountains around the world.
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